



truly a stretch.

4. I was born with this disability. I have spent a lifetime of disguising and hiding this from my co-workers and friends. During the 1960's and early 1970's my parents spent a fortune addressing my condition, but some thirty years later, there are no records or documents of these tests. My parents and grandparents passed away more than twenty years ago. Therefore, I have no available medical records or the ability to prove up documentation but have friends and co-workers' who will attest to this disability via hands-on knowledge and observances. I have requested that each of them prepare an affidavit stating: that they have never seen me read, never seen me read aloud, and never seen me take notes or write anything down and hand it to anyone that was even legible. If the court will grant me an additional thirty days, I will have the responses to the pleadings that Defendants are requesting, and if you will grant a hearing on my motion for interpreter, I can call the defendants as witnesses to testify upon their personal knowledge.

5. Yes I am disabled and no, I am not stupid nor illiterate. I point to an example of Dr. Stephen W. Hawking, a brilliant scientist. His body failed him and he was trapped in a wheel chair. I think we all can agree that he probably has one of the finest genius minds since Albert Einstein. I am not comparing myself to these people. However, I am trying to point out that they had a physical disability but that did not mean that they were not articulate and or able to express themselves. With the use of computer technology and the help of others, allows me to speak through the written word. This is a difficult, embarrassing, painful, and long process.

6. The defendants are quite aware of Plaintiff's condition in that they purchased special software that was expressly for enhancing my ability to do my job. Therefore, to deny that I am being truthful is far from the truth, insulting and far-reaching.

7. Defendants state that they "have no opposition to permitting Plaintiff to bring someone (non-legal representation) to any hearing for the sole purpose of reading documents to him or taking notes on his behalf," and that "the true nature of Plaintiff's request hardly requires the Court to formally appoint an 'interpreter' in any sense required by the Rules of Procedure." Said defendants also indicate that "it may be sufficient to reassure Plaintiff that courtesy will be afforded to him at any formal hearing or Court appearance and that in the future he would be afforded an opportunity to formally reassert his motion should it appear that some form of 'an interpreter' would in fact be necessary." Thank you counsel for being so generous. However as a pro se litigant, your Honor, I have already experienced the back-handed door that the Defendants are so now graciously offering and counsel will forgive me, but with Orders being signed behind closed doors and without a hearing, I request this Court grant my request for an interpreter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that his Motion for Interpreter be granted in all respects.

Respectfully submitted this \_\_\_\_ day of April, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

David P. Griffin  
Pro Se

15406 Ullman Drive  
Austin, Texas 78734  
512-804-9021  
[david@griffincomm.com](mailto:david@griffincomm.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing has been furnished to the following, as indicated, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2009:

via \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
DAVID P. GRIFFIN